

COURSE EVALUATION REPORT

Type	Evaluation of implemented SWARM course
Institution	University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences
Course title	Groundwater flow
ECTS	7
Reporting date	20 January 2022
Report author(s)	Maja Petrović, Igor Peško, Srđan Kovačević, Slobodan Kolaković

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

University of Nis



Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management
for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

SWARM COURSE DESCRIPTION

with special reference to learning outcomes

Course description:

Introducing students with Streaming below the buildings, square grid. Hydraulic instability of porous medium. Unsteady flow towards a lonely well. Specific yield yield issued. radius of action of the well. Influence of boundaries and boundary conditions on water abstraction effects. Processing of experimental and operational pumping data. Problems of well design and operation. Occurrences and processes that reduce the yield of wells. Selection of filter backfill characteristics and filter openings. Lowering of groundwater for the needs of building construction (construction pit). Problems with the construction of facilities in groundwater.


Problems encountered during the realization of course

Please add your comments, if any: -

Evaluation details


Results of general evaluation of implemented course

Description					
Students graduated implemented course as strongly agree or agree with average marks from 4.00 to 5.00. The average mark for the whole implemented course is 4.73.					
Table/Figure					
General evaluation of implemented course					
Grading	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
How do you judge the ECTS of the course in comparison with the respective work load?	100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
In this course, are students encouraged to participate actively in class (e.g., through group work, as well as self-regulated, problem-oriented learning)?	100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
In this course, is the infrastructure (size and condition of the room, technical equipment) is very good?	0,0	100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Are the subjects dealt with in this course often discussed in relationship to practical examples (relevance to practice)?	100,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
Overall, this course fosters very strongly my interest in this subject	66,7	33,3	0,0	0,0	0,0



Results of evaluation of teaching staff


Description						
Students grading teaching staff with average marks from 4.33 to 5.00. The average mark for the teaching staff is 4.75.						
Table/Figure						
Evaluation of teaching staff						
Grading	No	Slightly	Moderate	Yes	Very much	I do not know/ I don't answer
Does the teacher make complex theory understandable?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0
Does the teaching staff properly organize the presentation of the course material?	0,0	0,0	0,0	66,7	33,3	0,0
Does the lecturer encourage the students to ask questions and comment in a critical way?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0
Is the teaching staff accessible to the students?	0,0	0,0	0,0	33,3	66,7	0,0



Results of evaluation of summarized questions

Description						
Overall opinion of the course and teaching staff has the average mark were 5.00.						
Table/Figure						
Evaluation of summarized questions						
Grading	Bad	Not good	Moderate	Good	Very good	I do not know/ I don't answer
Overall, which is your opinion about the course?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0
Overall, which is your opinion for the teaching staff?	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	100,0	0,0

Evaluation of summarized questions



Additional comments

What I especially like about the course?

-

What could be improved in the course?

-

Comments regarding the questions/the evaluation

Please add your comments, if any:

-

Please indicate your suggestions for further improvement:
